

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/283508770>

The Desert Margins Programme Approaches in Upscaling Best-Bet Technologies in Arid and Semi-arid Lands in Kenya

Chapter · January 2011

DOI: 10.1007/978-90-481-2543-2_120

CITATION

1

READS

11

11 authors, including:



[A. Anthony Obutiata Esilaba](#)

Kenya Agricultural Research Institute

8 PUBLICATIONS 1 CITATION

[SEE PROFILE](#)



[Michael Okoti](#)

Kenya Agricultural and Livestock Research Org..

15 PUBLICATIONS 24 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



[Muturi G. M.](#)

Kenya Forest Research Institute

19 PUBLICATIONS 50 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:



Innovative Conservation Agriculture Approaches: Food Security and Climate Action Through Soil and Water Conservation [View project](#)

Metadata of the chapter that will be visualized online

Chapter Title	The Desert Margins Programme Approaches in Upscaling Best-Bet Technologies in Arid and Semi-arid Lands in Kenya	
Chapter Sub-Title		
Chapter CopyRight - Year	Springer Science+Business Media B.V. 2011 (This will be the copyright line in the final PDF)	
Book Name	Innovations as Key to the Green Revolution in Africa	
Corresponding Author	Family Name	Esilaba
	Particle	
	Given Name	A.O.
	Suffix	
	Division	Desert Margins Programme
	Organization	Kenya Agricultural Research Institute
	Address	Nairobi, Kenya
	Email	aoesilaba@kari.org
Author	Family Name	Okoti
	Particle	
	Given Name	M.
	Suffix	
	Division	Desert Margins Programme
	Organization	Kenya Agricultural Research Institute
	Address	Nairobi, Kenya
	Email	
Author	Family Name	Nyariki
	Particle	
	Given Name	D.M.
	Suffix	
	Division	
	Organization	University of Nairobi
	Address	Nairobi, Kenya
	Email	
Author	Family Name	Keya
	Particle	
	Given Name	G.A.
	Suffix	
	Division	Desert Margins Programme
	Organization	Kenya Agricultural Research Institute
	Address	Nairobi, Kenya
	Email	
Author	Family Name	Miriti
	Particle	
	Given Name	J.M.
	Suffix	
	Division	Desert Margins Programme

Organization Kenya Agricultural Research Institute
Address Nairobi, Kenya
Email jmmiriti@yahoo.co.uk

Author Family Name **Kigomo**
Particle
Given Name **J.N.**
Suffix
Division
Organization Kenya Forestry Research Institute
Address Nairobi, Kenya
Email

Author Family Name **Olukoye**
Particle
Given Name **G.**
Suffix
Division
Organization Kenyatta University
Address Nairobi, Kenya
Email

Author Family Name **Wekesa**
Particle
Given Name **L.**
Suffix
Division
Organization University of Nairobi
Address Nairobi, Kenya
Email weknus@yahoo.com

Author Family Name **Ego**
Particle
Given Name **W.**
Suffix
Division Desert Margins Programme
Organization Kenya Agricultural Research Institute
Address Nairobi, Kenya
Email

Author Family Name **Muturi**
Particle
Given Name **G.M.**
Suffix
Division
Organization University of Nairobi
Address Nairobi, Kenya
Email

Author Family Name **Cheruiyot**
Particle

Given Name	H.K.
Suffix	
Division	Desert Margins Programme
Organization	Kenya Agricultural Research Institute
Address	Nairobi, Kenya
Email	

Abstract

Kenya's land surface is primarily arid and semi-arid lands (ASALs) which account for 84% of the total land area. The Desert Margins Programme (DMP) in Kenya has made some contribution to understanding which technology options have potential in reducing land degradation in marginal areas and conserving biodiversity through demonstrations, testing of the most promising natural resource management options, developing sustainable alternative livelihoods and policy guidelines, and replicating successful models. In extension of sustainable natural resource management, two types of strategies were used: (i) strategies for the promotion of readily available technologies and (ii) approaches for participatory learning and action research. Thus DMP-Kenya initiated upscaling of four 'best-bet' technologies. Under the rangeland/livestock management options, scaling-up activities include improvement of rangeland productivity, rangeland resource management through community-based range resources monitoring/assessment, and fodder conservation for home-based herds. Restoration of degraded lands included rehabilitation of rangelands using the red paint approach in conservation of *Acacia tortilis*, control of *Prosopis*, planting of *Acacia senegal* trees in micro-catchments, and rehabilitation of degraded areas through community enclosures. Improved land, nutrient, and water management involved upscaling water harvesting and integrated nutrient management (INM) technologies. Activities under tree-crop/livestock interactions included upscaling of *Melia volkensii* and fruit trees (mangoes) and enhancing biodiversity conservation through support of beekeeping as a viable alternative livelihood. Participatory learning and action research (PLAR) was used for technology development and dissemination. Capacity building and training was a major component of upscaling of these best-bet technologies.

Keywords (separated by '-')

Approaches in upscaling technologies - Arid and semi-arid lands - Biodiversity - Kenya - Land degradation

The Desert Margins Programme Approaches in Upscaling Best-Bet Technologies in Arid and Semi-arid Lands in Kenya

A.O. Esilaba, M. Okoti, D.M. Nyariki, G.A. Keya, J.M. Miriti, J.N. Kigomo, G. Olukoye, L. Wekesa, W. Ego, G.M. Muturi, and H.K. Cheruiyot

Abstract Kenya's land surface is primarily arid and semi-arid lands (ASALs) which account for 84% of the total land area. The Desert Margins Programme (DMP) in Kenya has made some contribution to understanding which technology options have potential in reducing land degradation in marginal areas and conserving biodiversity through demonstrations, testing of the most promising natural resource management options, developing sustainable alternative livelihoods and policy guidelines, and replicating successful models. In extension of sustainable natural resource management, two types of strategies were used: (i) strategies for the promotion of readily available technologies and (ii) approaches for participatory learning and action research. Thus DMP-Kenya initiated upscaling of four 'best-bet' technologies. Under the rangeland/livestock management options, scaling-up activities include improvement of rangeland productivity, rangeland resource management through community-based range resources monitoring/assessment, and fodder conservation for home-based herds. Restoration of degraded lands included rehabilitation of rangelands using the red paint approach in conservation of *Acacia tortilis*, control of *Prosopis*, planting of *Acacia senegal* trees in micro-catchments, and rehabilitation of degraded areas through community enclosures. Improved land, nutrient, and water management involved upscaling water harvesting and integrated nutrient management (INM) technologies. Activities

under tree-crop/livestock interactions included upscaling of *Melia volkensii* and fruit trees (mangoes) and enhancing biodiversity conservation through support of beekeeping as a viable alternative livelihood. Participatory learning and action research (PLAR) was used for technology development and dissemination. Capacity building and training was a major component of upscaling of these best-bet technologies.

Keywords Approaches in upscaling technologies · Arid and semi-arid lands · Biodiversity · Kenya · Land degradation

Introduction

The problem of desertification and land degradation in Kenya presents a major threat to all facets of land productivity (Kilewe and Thomas, 1992). Land degradation, either natural or induced by humans, is a continuing process. It has become, however, an important issue through its adverse effects on national natural resources, food security, and livelihoods in sub-Saharan Africa (Nabhan et al., 1999). The potential scale of land degradation in Kenya is serious as 84% of the total land area is arid and semi-arid. Causes of land degradation are numerous and include decline of soil fertility, development of acidity, salinization, alkalization, deterioration of soil structure, accelerated wind and water erosion, loss of organic matter, and biodiversity (Nabhan et al., 1999). Kilewe and Thomas (1992) and Nandwa et al. (1999) reviewed the forms of soil degradation (both chemical and physical) occurring in Kenya. The absence of quantitative data necessary

A.O. Esilaba (✉)
Desert Margins Programme, Kenya Agricultural Research
Institute, Nairobi, Kenya
e-mail: aoesilaba@kari.org

50 for predicting land degradation and detecting critical
51 management alternatives has curtailed the develop-
52 ment of conservation practices applicable to Kenyan
53 conditions. This has also prevented objective evalua-
54 tion and adaptation of models and experiences devel-
55 oped elsewhere and hindered the rehabilitation of
56 degraded land.

57 The Desert Margins Programme (DMP) is a project
58 in the Sub-Programme of Environmental Science
59 and Research of the United Nations Environment
60 Programme that covers nine countries in Africa
61 (Burkina Faso, Botswana, Mali, Namibia, Niger,
62 Senegal, Kenya, South Africa, and Zimbabwe). The
63 DMP was developed in response to a recommen-
64 dation made to the international research commu-
65 nity at UNCED to consider specific contributions
66 for implementation of the three international con-
67 ventions on biodiversity, climate change, and deser-
68 tification. The overall objective of the DMP is to
69 arrest land degradation through demonstration and
70 capacity-building activities developed through unrav-
71 elling the complex causative factors of desertifica-
72 tion and conservation and restoration of biodiversity
73 through sustainable utilization in the desert margins
74 in Africa. The Desert Margins Programme (DMP)
75 in Kenya was implemented from 2003 to 2007 by
76 the Kenya Agricultural Research Institute in col-
77 laboration with NARS [Kenya Forestry Research
78 Institute (KEFRI), National Environment Management
79 Authority (NEMA), the National Museums of Kenya
80 (NMK), University of Nairobi (UoN), and extension
81 agents], NGOs (ITDG and ELCI), and international
82 institutions (ILRI, ICRISAT, TSBF, and ICRAF) res-
83 ident in Kenya. DMP has local objectives of mitiga-
84 ting land degradation in the desert margins in Kenya.
85 While addressing its primary objective of alleviating
86 land degradation, DMP is expected to make a signifi-
87 cant reduction in the negative impacts associated with
88 other factors that cause degradation processes. During
89 the past 4 years (2003–2006), the DMP project in
90 Kenya has made some contribution to understanding
91 which technology options have potential in reducing
92 land degradation in marginal areas and conserving
93 biodiversity through demonstrations, testing of the
94 most promising natural resource management options,
95 developing sustainable alternative livelihoods and pol-
96 icy guidelines, and replicating successful models. Thus
97 DMP-Kenya has initiated upscaling of four ‘best-bet’
98 technologies that include various rangeland/livestock

management options; restoration of degraded lands;
improved land, nutrient, and water management; and
tree-crop/livestock interactions.

Materials and Methods

Biophysical and Socioeconomic Characteristics of the Study Sites

The Kenyan component of DMP was implemented in
three benchmark sites in Turkana/Turkwel, Marsabit,
and the Southern Rangelands.

Turkana/Turkwel Site

The Turkana/Turkwel site is a river basin ecosystem
in northwest Kenya. The ecosystem consists of the
Turkwel riverine forest that supports hydropower pro-
duction at the gorge, pastoralism, irrigated agriculture,
production of Doum palms, fuel wood including char-
coal production, and human settlements. Currently,
Prosopis juliflora has become an obnoxious invasive
weed within the Turkwel ecosystem and is also chok-
ing shores of Lake Turkana. Mean annual rainfall is
approximately 500 mm in the upstream section and
less than 200 mm downstream. Temperatures range
between 24 and 38°C with a mean of 30°C. The forest
is largely dominated by *Acacia tortilis* with *Faidherbia
albida*, *Acacia elatior*, *Cordia sinensis*, and *Hyphaena
compressa* as sub-dominants on the riverbanks and
Balanites pedicellaris, *Boscia coriacea*, and *Acacia
nubica* on the dry edge of the riverine zone. The soils
are alluvial deposits of deep sandy or silty loams classi-
fied as calcaric Fluvisols (Van Bremen and Kinyanjui,
1992). The major source of livelihood is livestock
sector, which contributes to 60% of the district popu-
lation. However, crop production is mainly practised
along major rivers and contributes to about 16% of
the local economy. Despite the increasing dependency
on agriculture most Turkana still keep herds of live-
stock (cattle, camels, goats, sheep, and donkeys) and
are either nomadic pastoralists or agro-pastoralists.
Poverty levels within this region are generally high
with an overall poverty of 74% and food poverty of
81% (GOK, 2002).

Marsabit Site

Marsabit site is in northwest Kenya and comprises Kargi, Ngurunit, Korr, and Kalacha sub-sites. Pastoral livestock production with a bias on camels, sheep, and goats is the main livelihood, with settlement playing a significant role in degradation. Marsabit represents a gradient of ecological differentiation: from the oasis ecosystem in an otherwise desert zone at Kalacha through a very arid zone at Korr and Kargi to the montane semi-arid ecosystem at Ngurunit. The site has a diversity of plant species that are endemic.

Kargi is a Rendille settlement about 70 km northwest of Marsabit town at an altitude of approximately 600 m.a.s.l. with mean rainfall of 250 mm, mean monthly temperature of 27–29°C, and a mean annual potential evapotranspiration of about 2,500 mm. The vegetation is dominantly *Acacia* shrub land with *Duosperma/Indigofera* understory.

Ngurunit is an Ariaal/Rendille settlement situated at an altitude of approximately 700–800 m.a.s.l. The mean annual rainfall is about 600 mm and diurnal temperatures range between 22 and 27°C. The potential annual evapotranspiration is about 2,000 mm.

Korr is a Rendille settlement at an altitude of 500 m.a.s.l. The mean rainfall is 180 mm.

Kalacha is inhabited by the Gabbra pastoral community on the northern edge of the Chalbi desert. The settlement lies at an altitude of 386 m.a.s.l. The mean annual rainfall is less than 200 mm. The area surrounding the settlement is typical of most arid areas of Marsabit District except that there is an oasis with plenty of water and a big grove of Doum palms. The settled pastoralists do some irrigated farming using water from this oasis. The area is sparsely wooded with *A. tortilis* being the dominant species, sparsely interspersed by the salt-tolerant bush *Salsola dendroides*.

Southern Rangeland Site

This site is at the intersection of Kajiado, Makueni, and Taita-Taveta Districts with land degradation impacts emanating from farming, pastoralism, and wildlife conservation. The climate is semi-arid to arid with the mean annual rainfall ranging between about 600 and 750 mm as opposed to potential evaporation varying

between 2,100 and 2,150 mm (Achieng and Muchena, 1979). In the national park, however, rainfall varies between 300 and about 900 mm and increases towards the south.

The altitude lies between 150 and 1,000 m.a.s.l. The natural vegetation is dominated by wooded bushland consisting of *Enteropogon macrostachyus* and *Chloris roxburghiana* (30% of grasses) with *Acacia brevispica*, *Combretum exallatum*, *Commiphora* spp. and grasses such as *Premma holstii*, *Ocinum basilicum*, and *Grewia* spp. plus other wooded species.

All soils in the district are developed on sandstones rich in ferro-magnesium minerals. They are well drained, deep to very deep, red to dusky red, and sandy clay to clay (Ekirapa and Muya, 1991). These are represented around Kiboko and west of Kibwezi by *chromic* Luvisols and interfluves of *orthic* and *xanthic* Ferralsols (Ministry of Agriculture, 1987). Soils are variable in depth depending on the parent material and slope and are generally low in organic matter and deficient in nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium (van Wifngaarden and van Englen, 1994).

The population of Makueni District consists of some 43,377 households with a density of 10–150 people/km² and farm sizes averaging 3.5 ha per household (De Jager et al., 2006). Of the total farmland an average of 48.5% is under cultivation and up to 71% of the households live below poverty line.

Farm sizes are on average 3.3 ha per household of which about 1.8 ha is utilized to grow crops, with the rest of the land under pasture. Livestock are also kept but their quality is low due to poor breeds and poor feed supply and feed rations. Apart from rainfed agriculture there are extensive areas where irrigation is practised.

Methodologies/Approaches

Extension of the sustainable natural resource management (NRM) component of DMP was to foster improved and integrated soil, water, nutrient, vegetation, and livestock management technologies to achieve greater productivity of crops, trees, and animals to enhance food security and ecosystem resilience. In Kenya, extension of sustainable natural resource management was started in the second year of DMP. Two types of strategies were used: (i) strategies for the promotion of readily available technologies and

148 (ii) approaches for participatory learning and action
149 research.

150 The research component started by developing
151 inventories and characterizing available technolo-
152 gies ready for promotion, defining potential user
153 groups/systems–farm typologies, and matching tech-
154 nologies with people. A bottom-up, social, and
155 experiential learning approach was needed to fos-
156 ter technological change. Such a learning approach
157 aimed at making the best use of locally available
158 resources, knowledge, and decision making in combi-
159 nation with research-based understanding and analysis
160 of the underlying processes, leading to technology
161 adaptation, innovation, and change based on social
162 interaction.

163 The methodologies/approaches used to imple-
164 ment DMP activities included reconnaissance surveys,
165 diagnostic surveys, soil surveys, stakeholder work-
166 shops, on-farm trials/demonstrations, establishment of
167 demonstration plots on forage germplasm, indigenous
168 fruit trees, tree nurseries, medicinal plants, commer-
169 cial fruit trees, multipurpose trees, woodlots, hor-
170 ticultural crops, drought-resistant crops, and other
171 potential biodiversity activities and upscaling of ‘best-
172 bet’ technologies. Best-bet technologies were upscaled
173 through establishment of small-scale demonstration
174 plots, on-farm trials, training and stakeholder work-
175 shops, information dissemination and exchange, and
176 raising awareness to foster adoption of sustainable land
177 use practices. The programme participated in a num-
178 ber of initiatives to generate a policy and regulatory
179 environment to encourage sustainable use of natural
180 resources. Community-based management of natural
181 resources and monitoring and evaluation of the natu-
182 ral resource base with the pastoral communities was
183 started in the DMP sites.

184 This was implemented in close collaboration with
185 the relevant stakeholders involved in extension work.
186 The existing and new farmer networks formed the basis
187 for the set-up of stakeholder platforms for all relevant
188 partners involved in research and development activi-
189 ties in the sites. They included extension services;
190 international, national, and local non-governmental
191 organizations (NGOs); and private sector who directly
192 work with farmer groups and community-based orga-
193 nizations (CBOs) as primary beneficiaries. Detailed
194 aspects of methods for each project in the programme
195 are summarized under major activities for each project
196 in the various DMP sites.

Results and Discussion

Rangeland/Livestock Management Options

Improvement of Rangeland Productivity

Range condition and trend assessments over the years have often pointed at worsening productivity of natural pastures in both the arid and semi-arid areas of East Africa (Coughenour et al., 1990; McPeak, 2001; Coughener, 2004). Wandera et al. (1996) highlighted the need for pasture improvement for greater meat production from indigenous livestock in pastoral areas of Kajiado and Baringo Districts in Kenya.

Range and livestock technologies can be used intensively to improve the productivity of the marginal areas in the rangelands of Kenya. Low levels of production in these areas are attributed not only to scarce and erratic rainfall but also to low levels of technical skills by farmers. Proper exploitation of the range resource potential through improved management can easily change the living standards of these farmers. The potential rangeland management practices that can be used to increase production in the semi-arid rangelands include range, plant, and livestock-related technologies.

Soils and soil moisture form the basis of rangeland productivity and therefore their conservation and management are of paramount importance. These can be achieved in grazing lands through pitting, contour terracing, and revegetation of watersheds and pastures. Forage species adapted to grazing and drought stress have been identified in ASALs of Kenya. Subsequently, field experiments were carried out to test and demonstrate the usefulness of the selected genotypes in the reclamation of degraded rangelands and production of good quality forages in the semi-arid zones.

During the period under review, DMP worked in five farmer clusters in the Southern Rangelands. In each cluster, five farmers were selected as contact demonstration farmers representing each village composed of about 50–60 active farmers. The demonstrations were carried out at the village level where all the farmers participated through participatory adaptive research and development approaches. In each village one farm was identified where demonstrations were

197 carried out. Three grass species (*Cenchrus ciliaris*,
198 *Eragrostis superba*, and *E. macrostachyus*) were estab-
199 lished on a 0.25 ha of land. Other farmers were each
200 allowed to carry grass seeds of one species of their
201 choice for establishment in their farms. At the end of
202 the first season a total of 21 farmers from 2 villages
203 had well-established pastures. Their pastures ranged
204 from 0.1 to 0.3 ha and the demand for grass seeds had
205 increased, with farmers who had harvested grass sell-
206 ing all their stocks. After seed harvesting about 40% of
207 the farmers harvested and baled the grass as hay while
208 the rest allowed animals to graze during the dry season.
209 Those who baled later sold them at a profit.

210 Results from participatory evaluation of trials on
211 pasture reseeding and related trials indicated that farm-
212 ers were encouraged by the increased forage for their
213 livestock. Farmers realized increased income from
214 increased milk yield, hay, and sale of grass seeds.
215 The hay and grass seed sale resulted in diversifi-
216 cation of income sources to the community. Other
217 sources of increased incomes were due to sale of
218 animals as a result of improved livestock conditions
219 with improved availability of pastures in restricted
220 areas. Farmers have therefore expanded the areas under
221 pastures and forages. A community-based seed multi-
222 plication approach has been adopted to meet the high
223 demands for seed. This group approach to on-farm
224 trials and demonstrations has resulted in more rapid
225 scaling up of technologies in the DMP sites within the
226 Southern Rangelands through promotion and support
227 of farmer groups and community-based organizations
228 (CBO) that were registered during the EU/ARSP and
229 ARIDSAK projects.

230 With the adoption of improved range management
231 technologies, it is expected that primary and secondary
232 rangeland productivity and the living standards of the
233 communities will be improved as well as reduction in
234 rangeland degradation.

237 **Improvement in Rangeland Resources** 238 **Management Through Community-Based Range** 239 **Resources Monitoring and Assessment** 240 **in ASALs** 241

242 Over the last two decades community-based natu-
243 ral resource management (CBNRM) has become an
244 important strategy to conserve and sustainably use
245

biodiversity in Africa. Community resource manage-
ment institutions and organizations are now receiving
greater attention as a viable alternative to regulation by
the state or privatization as a means of rectifying inef-
ficiencies caused by attenuated property right systems
and externalities (Fabrius et al., 2005; Gemedo-Dalle
et al., 2006). There is growing recognition that bio-
diversity and indigenous knowledge are interrelated
phenomena (Balard and Platteau, 1996; Warren 1993).
Once the diversity of floral and faunal resources disap-
pears, the knowledge associated with these resources
also disappears. It was with this background that
the community, in collaboration with KARI-Marsabit
research scientists, initiated a community-based range
resources monitoring and assessment activity. This
is expected to harmonize the traditional methods of
range resources monitoring and assessment with the
conventional methods.

The main objective was to actively involve the com-
munity in biodiversity conservation through jointly
documenting changes in natural resources over time
by using traditional and conventional methods of range
resources monitoring and assessment. The commu-
nity was involved in monitoring the state of resources
within their area using traditional indicators in collab-
oration with the research scientists who used conven-
tional methods.

Conventionally, the technical staff described the
various resource attributes within established perman-
ent transects and also clipped the herbaceous vegeta-
tion falling at specified intervals within transects. Data
were collected along three 500 m long transects and
at intervals of 100 m; grasses, herbs, forbs, shrubs,
and trees were sampled using the nested quadrat
method. Descriptions were also made of the vegeta-
tion species, and the soil conditions and the results
were compared with the community description. Two
transects fall within the community livestock home
range and the third transect outside the livestock home
range.

For the community, data collected gave a gen-
eral/subjective description of the area from their
traditional indigenous knowledge perspective. Their
method of recording and analysis depended more on
memory and discussion than on written records. They
describe the environment and their observations which
are documented in terms of vegetation suitability,
cover, soil conditions, and general observations on use
or misuse of resources.

246 This approach was received with enthusiasm by
247 the community members and promises to yield
248 great impact in enhancing environmental conservation
249 efforts at the community level. To sensitize the wider
250 community on the importance of biodiversity conserva-
251 tion, the results from the studies are continuously
252 made available to the community through workshops
253 and outreach to institutions like schools. Since the
254 communities took the necessary initiatives, the results
255 from these studies will feed back into the commu-
256 nity policy structures for conservation of resources.
257 Communities in other areas have requested that the
258 same structures for conservation be introduced in their
259 areas. Hence, collaboratively with the GEF-funded
260 Indigenous Vegetation Project, six more transects
261 have been established for monitoring natural resources
262 dynamics.

263 The project goal of conserving and restoring bio-
264 diversity in the desert margins is being met since the
265 project's aim was to create awareness on resource
266 use dynamics and influence conservation policies at
267 the grassroots level. The greatest impact will be
268 improvement in biodiversity within the areas that are
269 already under pressure due to resource over-utilization.
270 Ecosystem products and services such as vegetation
271 resources, reduction in soil erosion, and improved
272 water infiltration will improve. The total area targeted
273 is over 30,000 ha and this will benefit over 1,200
274 households.

275 **Fodder Conservation for Home-Based Herds**

276
277
278 Because of many factors, there are many changes that
279 have taken place within the drylands of Kenya which
280 have led to changes in the vegetation structure. Several
281 communities in the northern part of Kenya point to
282 a good past in terms of vegetation resources endow-
283 ment (Milimo et al., 2002). However, they indicate
284 that there has been a downward trend in vegetation
285 attributes over the years. This has led to scarcity of
286 forage to livestock, especially the home-based herds,
287 since the other livestock species migrate in search of
288 pasture when conditions allow. To minimize forage
289 scarcity for the home-based herds, fodder bank tech-
290 nology was introduced to the community. The technol-
291 ogy involves fodder establishment at the community
292 level/individual farmer's plots. Interested farmers who
293 demand for grass seeds for fodder establishment are

given technical advice on fodder establishment and
hay bulking. This is a community-driven initiative that
receives given technical support from research and
extension agents.

The challenges of the recent drought, that made the
government provide hay as part of relief efforts, have
made the community take the initiatives of fodder con-
servation more seriously. The only challenge will be
provision of grass seeds, which imply timely harvest-
ing of grass seeds or sourcing of fodder germplasm.

The direct benefit of the initiative was provision of
fodder for the home-based herds and securing food
security at the household level. By implication, the
provision of fodder reduces the pressure on vegetation
resources, hence allowing regeneration and conserva-
tion of biodiversity.

Restoration of Degraded Lands

Rehabilitation of Degraded Rangelands

Rangelands have a relatively low production poten-
tial, are fragile, and are easily degraded through
over-utilization or use of inappropriate technologies
(Herlocker, 1999). The most common types of degra-
dation in the rangelands are degradation of the soil,
vegetation, and animal species.

The demand on the rangelands is high due to
increasing livestock and human populations. The pres-
sure is from within the rangelands and immigration
from neighbouring densely populated high-potential
areas.

There is need therefore to develop and/or source,
adapt, and upscale appropriate rangeland rehabilitation
technologies and to support efforts by research and
other development agencies in providing technologies
that will sustainably improve rangeland productivity.

The technologies being upscaled were mainly in
soil and water management and improvement of pri-
mary productivity. The soil and water management
technologies are as follows:

- (i) Runoff harvesting for improving soil moisture
- (ii) Construction of water and soil micro-catchments
- (iii) Construction of modified terraces
- (iv) Restoration of gully eroded rangelands

Technologies for improvement of primary productivity are as follows:

- (i) Plant species enrichment that involves introduction or increase of the germplasm to improve ground cover and production
- (ii) Bush management technologies that involve reduction of the woody vegetation to allow for increased herbaceous production

The technologies were implemented using on-farm trials and demonstrations carried out at the village level where farmers were involved through participatory adaptive research and development approaches.

Red Paint Approach in Conservation of *A. tortilis*

This involves the painting of the stems of *A. tortilis* trees with red paint. Red paint within the Rendille and Samburu pastoral communities is revered and anything painted red is disused or used judiciously. By marking young tree seedlings with red paint, one marks them out for disuse by the community and with this they can be allowed to establish into mature trees.

The process of identifying the desirable areas/plants for conservation involved discussions with the community. Areas with high *A. tortilis* regeneration, especially in old abandoned bomas (Kraals), were identified and mapped out. Paint was then provided to the community members to paint tree stems of the identified trees. However, not all trees were painted, to allow access and use of some of the resources.

This technology or approach was tried by various projects including IPAL, GTZ, and the EU/ARSP II with very good success (Lusigi, 1981; Goldsmith, 2000; Ndung'u et al., 1999). It has worked with the community's approval since the costs involved are minimal.

Increased biodiversity will lead to improved ecosystem services to the community. The target species, *A. tortilis*, which is well adapted to this area, is of high value in terms of provision of livestock feed (pods are a main dry season feed source), wood fuel, building poles, and also fencing materials, among others. Hence the impact will be felt at the household level, with a

total population of over 10,000 persons benefiting from the technology.

Rehabilitation of Deserts Through Planting of *Acacia senegal* Trees in Micro-catchments

The Acacia Operation Project (AOP) is a project supporting food security and rural development of gums and resins in sub-Saharan African countries (Burkina Faso, Chad, Kenya, Niger, Senegal, and Sudan). This project aims to rehabilitate degraded land by planting *A. senegal* using novel water harvesting technologies and improving livelihoods through promotion of gum and resin production.

Micro-catchments for water harvesting were made using a specially designed tractor and plough (Delphino plough) designed to make micro-catchments. *A. senegal* seeds are planted in the micro-catchments to utilize harvested runoff. Grasses were also planted on the micro-catchments for soil conservation and as a source of fodder.

The approach used created synergy between nature and modern technology in improving management of natural resources. The local communities were involved in the identification of potential sites for development of plantations for gum and resin production, before micro-catchments were ploughed. There was also capacity building on markets and income diversification as well as training in dryland food production and utilization to realize immediate benefits from the plantations.

The potential of production of gums and resins as alternative livelihoods and diversification of the production systems formed the basis for the success of these technologies. About 342.7 ha was planted by the AOP project with *A. senegal* seeds/seedlings and drought-tolerant crops at Serolipi, Laisamis, and Ngurunit in Marsabit and Samburu Districts. The results indicated that *A. senegal* can be successfully established in degraded sites. However, more trials need to be conducted before concrete recommendations on integration of crops with *A. senegal*. Challenges include a cultural bias towards pastoral livestock keeping as opposed to agro-silvopastoralism and communal land ownership. The technology will contribute to sustainable development, food security,

344 and combating desertification through the promotion
345 and integration of gums and resins in rural economies
346 as an alternative livelihood.

347 348 349 **Rehabilitation of Degraded Areas** 350 **Through Community Enclosures** 351

352
353 Community enclosures are ideal for improving the
354 overall ecological conditions of degraded areas so that
355 they can provide better socioeconomic benefits and
356 environmental services to the local people. Previous
357 studies in ASALs show that enclosing land with fencing
358 had many benefits that included increased livestock
359 feed, fuel wood, more water, higher land value, and
360 increased livestock production. The method is cheap,
361 quick, and cost friendly in this region. Due to low
362 and unreliable rainfall, afforestation programmes and
363 grass reseeding have resulted in low survival rates of
364 germplasm. Reid and Ellis (1995) reported that *A.*
365 *tortilis* was successfully recruited in South Turkana
366 through abandoned livestock enclosures. Goats which
367 form the majority of livestock population in the district
368 normally eat the *A. tortilis* pods. However, the
369 seeds are not digested but are excreted in the droppings
370 which will later germinate, but if the young seedlings
371 are not enclosed they will be browsed. Emiru et al.
372 (undated) and Olukoye et al. (2003) demonstrated successful
373 cases in Tigray in Ethiopia and Noth Horr in
374 Kenya, respectively.

375 An evaluation of one successful case study of established
376 community enclosure at Kalatum in Turkana was undertaken
377 to assess the effectiveness of tested technologies, community
378 mobilization approaches, and opportunities/challenges of
379 upscaling this technology. The success of enclosures was
380 tested by assessing the adoption of fencing technology by
381 community members and comparison of vegetation status
382 between the fenced and unfenced plots. Adoption of
383 fencing technology entailed assessment of fence expansion,
384 while impact of other range rehabilitation was undertaken
385 by comparing vegetation variables between fenced and
386 unfenced areas, using a total of 25 sample plots. Sampling
387 was done during the wet and dry seasons because of
388 variation of seasonal impacts on vegetation status. Results
389 of this study showed an increase of the fenced area from
390 the initial plot of 5 ha to an extensive area of about 25 ha.
391 The community

adopted the use of locally available plant materials and
deterrent rules to keep off livestock from the areas
earmarked for range regeneration. Ecological assessment
of the impact of fencing and water harvesting on range
resilience revealed a higher density of important fodder
species such as *A. tortilis* of 124 trees and 14 trees per
hectare in the fenced and unfenced areas, respectively.
The average percentage cover of the dominant grass
Chrysopogon plumulosus was 36 and 4% in the fenced
and unfenced areas, respectively. In total, 15 plant
species were recorded in the enclosures compared to
only 7 in open rangelands.

The enclosure has been a model used by the DMP
project for exposing more community members to this
technology. This has been accomplished by community
sensitization and formulation of community action
plans which led to initiation of three more enclosures
with a total area of 10 ha in different localities
within the district. Increased vegetation cover through
enhanced natural regeneration while conserving biodiversity
will lead to improved standard of living of the
target communities.

Improved Land, Nutrient, and Water Management

The current high population pressure on land necessitates
exploitation of arid and semi-arid lands (ASALs) for crop
production. However, agricultural production in ASALs is
limited by low and erratic rainfall, high transpiration rates,
and generally fragile ecosystems which are not suitable for
rainfed agriculture (Jaetzold et al., 2006).

Rainwater harvesting using tied ridges and open ridges
is one of the cheap methods of mitigating dry spells in
areas where farmers have inadequate resources to invest in
irrigation. On-farm trials in Makueni District and other
studies in ASALs in Kenya and sub-Saharan Africa have
indicated that tied ridges increase maize yields by more
than 50% above the conventional flat tillage practised by
farmers (Njihia, 1977; Kipserem, 1996; Ngoroi et al.,
1994; Jensen et al., 2003; Itabari et al., 2004; Miriti
et al., 2007; Itabari et al., 2007). There were significant
increases in maize yield when tied ridges are combined
with integrated nutrient management (Jensen et al.,
2003; Miriti et al., 2007; Itabari et al., 2007). However,
tied ridging

The Desert Margins Programme

has not been widely adopted by small-scale resource-poor farmers in the semi-arid lands. There have been contradictory reports on the effects of tied ridging due to the variation in soil and climatic characteristics among sites and between years. In addition, the net effect of tied ridging includes both positive and negative effects (Hudson, 1987; Lal, 1995). The research hypothesis was that combining water harvesting techniques with improved soil fertility will result in higher efficiency of resources and increase in crop yields in the ASALs.

Based on the above evidence from the ASALs in Kenya, DMP initiated upscaling water harvesting and INM in the benchmark sites in the Southern Rangelands and Marsabit District in northern Kenya. Researcher-farmer managed mother-baby on-farm trials and demonstrations were conducted to study the effect of different water harvesting technologies and integrated nutrient management practices on crop yields. The trial treatments included three water harvesting techniques [farmers' practice (flat tillage), contour furrows, and tied ridging] and five integrated nutrient management practices [control (without fertilizers), farmyard manure at 5 t/ha, farmyard manure at 5 t/ha + 20 kg N/ha + 20 kg P/ha, farmyard manure at 10 t/ha, and farmyard manure at 10 t/ha + 20 kg N/ha + 20 kg P/ha]. The treatment arrangement was split-plot and each treatment was replicated four times in a randomized complete block design (RCBD). Trials were established in five clusters in the Southern Rangelands. These clusters were at Kimana in Kajiado District, Kambu, Kambo, Kathyaka, and Kalii in Makueni District. During the long rains in 2006 there were 5 mother trials and 15 baby trials, whereas during the short rains these were 4 and 18, respectively. Cowpeas K80 and green grams N26 were used as the test crops during the long rains and short rains, respectively. In Marsabit District four trial sites were selected in four different sub-locations [Songa, Galqasa/Goro Rukesa, Dirib Gombo, and KARI Marsabit (Majengo sub-location)]. Partners in the establishment of the trials were DMP research scientists from collaborating institutions that included the TSBF Institute of CIAT, KEFRI, KARI, extension staff from the line ministries, NGOs, and community-based organizations from the DMP sites.

Preliminary results indicated that there were differences between the tillage treatments at Katumani but not at Kiboko and Marsabit, and integration of

Table 1 Preliminary results on the effect of water harvesting and INM on maize grain yields on farmers' fields in the Southern Rangelands (Katumani and Kiboko)

Treatments	Tied ridging	Contour furrows	Farmers' practice
Control	983	1,036	903
Manure 5 t/ha	1,194	1,123	963
Manure 5 t/ha + 20 kg N+20 kg P ₂ O ₅ /ha	1,139	1,180	1,018
Manure 10 t/ha	1,211	1,170	1,065
Manure 10 t/ha + 20 kg N+20 kg P ₂ O ₅ /ha	1,375	1,054	1,046
Mean	1,180	1,133	999
LSD ($P < 0.05$)	489	509	566

manure and inorganic fertilizers within the treatments increased maize yields (Table 1). Application of manure 10 t/ha plus 20 kg N plus 20 kg P₂O₅/ha had the highest yields under tied ridging. The highest yield under contour furrows was at 5 t/ha with 20 kg N+20 kg P₂O₅/ha manure whereas under the farmers practice it was at 10 t/ha manure. The results show that tied ridging and contour furrows coupled with integrated nutrient management have potential as a viable option for improved crop production in arid and semi-arid lands. However, there is need for long-term trials as well as economic analysis prior to making any concrete recommendations.

Tree-Crop/Livestock Interactions

Tree-crop/livestock integration offers a promising opportunity for intensifying agricultural production and increasing ecological integrity so as to have a positive impact on livelihoods and NRM in mixed farming systems (Karugu, 2004). For smallholders who have limited access to external inputs, research has documented that introduction of rotations of various crops, forage legumes, trees and use of manure help maintain soil biodiversity, minimize soil erosion, and conserve water. Activities under tree-crop/livestock interactions include upscaling of *Melia volkensii* and mangoes and enhancing biodiversity conservation through support of beekeeping as a viable alternative livelihood.

Upscaling of *M. volkensii* in the Southern Rangelands

M. volkensii Gürke has received significant research attention because of its socioeconomic importance in the drylands. *Melia* is a multipurpose tree species endemic in arid and semi-arid lands of eastern Africa. It is used as construction timber, fuel wood, fodder (fruit and leaves), medicine (bark), bee forage, mulch, and green leaf manure (Kamondo et al., 2006).

The Southern Rangelands located in the semi-arid areas of southeast Kenya form part of the drylands where *Melia* is endemic. The tree is heavily exploited in the region and very little remains in nature, calling for concerted efforts to conserve the natural stands as well as encourage farmers to plant *Melia* on their farms. In this region, DMP has responded to these needs and is currently upscaling *Melia* through the following:

(a) Capacity building on seedling production for farmers: The technology developed by KEFRI to raise *Melia* seedlings is an elaborate process that involves seed extraction from the nut, pre-treatments, and management of the seedlings. Capacity building is necessary for farmers' adoption and adaptation of the technology. Therefore, through support of DMP, KEFRI staff conducts on-station and on-farm training on requisite processes for successful

seedling production through seeds. The training focuses on timing of seed maturity, depulping of *Melia* fruits, seed extraction, seed pre-treatment, sowing, pricking out, and tending of pricked out seedlings. Training is necessary because the species is highly sensitive to weather and environmental conditions.

During 2005–2006, members of four group nurseries were trained and they raised over 20,000 *Melia* seedlings that were either planted on their farms or sold off (Table 2).

In addition, over 200 farmers were trained in their individual capacity in raising *Melia* seedlings.

(b) Silvicultural management: Over 50 farmers supported to establish *Melia* woodlots in the Southern Rangelands site were trained on tree management to improve production of various *Melia* products. For timber production, pruning and spacing were the major training aspects. Tree-crop interactions/competition was also addressed through appropriate farm planning for optimum land productivity.

(c) On-station seedling production: On-station seedling production was initiated during phase II to meet the rising demand for *Melia* seedlings. This will be scaled down as farmers are trained to produce seedlings on farm. Over the past 3 years about 20,000 *Melia* seedlings were raised for out-planting (Table 3).

Therefore DMP is upscaling *Melia* through capacity building on seedling production and silvicultural management. By providing alternative source of forestry products like timber, the pressure on highland forests is expected to reduce in the long term, and this contributes to biodiversity conservation since highland forests are more endowed with biological diversity. The gains from increased *Melia* hectareage and conservation of ASALs will eventually lead to increased carbon sequestration. In addition to the above benefits, the

Table 2 Farmer groups trained on *Melia* seedlings production

Name of group	Number of members trained	Number of seedlings raised
Utheu wa Aka	21	4,500
Kituku's group	5	6,000
Nzonkolo group	15	1,500
Masongaleni group	5	5,000
DWA Sisal plantation	1	5,000

Table 3 Out-planted *Melia* seedlings

Division	No. of farmers	No. supplied	Farmers' own supplies	No. surviving	Average height (m)
Kibwezi	50	9,054	1,164	8,570	2.3
Kajiado	1	200	–	192	3.5
Marsabit	–	4,500	–	2,500	–
Makindu	2	2,000	–	1,600	3.0
Mtito Andei	3	3,720	–	1,970	2.2
Total	56	19,474	1,164	14,832	–

491 sale of its raw and processed products will contribute
492 to household incomes as an alternative livelihood for
493 local communities.

496 **Upscaling Mango (*Mangifera indica*)**
497 **Production and Marketing in the Arid**
498 **and Semi-arid Lands in Kenya**
499

501 Mango is the most important fruit in the tropics. The
502 fruit marketability, especially for the export market,
503 is high where the fruit fetches high prices. Mango
504 which has been naturalized in Kenya grows well from
505 sea level up to an altitude of 1,800 m and requires
506 mean annual temperatures between 20 and 30°C. Once
507 established the plant can tolerate a wide range of soils
508 but prefers deep fertile well-drained soils with pH
509 ranging from 5.5 to 7.5.

511 The Kenya Forestry Research Institute (KEFRI) and
512 KARI through the ARIDSAK project were involved
513 in the promotion of mango orchard establishment
514 as a sustainable alternative livelihood source for the
515 communities in the drylands. The key challenge to
516 mango production is timely production of quality fruits
517 in quantities that justify selling in lucrative markets
518 located far away from the drylands. In addressing pro-
519 duction and marketing challenges, different techniques
520 and methodologies have been identified. Suitable types
521 and varieties of mangoes for each region, grafting
522 techniques, integrated soil water and fertility man-
523 agement practices, and pest and disease management
524 options have been identified. In addition, there have
525 been proposals by stakeholders on marketing and value
526 added strategies through collective action, networking,
527 packaging, and transportation of the fruits.

528 Promotion of mango production has been con-
529 strained by lack of quality planting materials. This
530 is further exacerbated by lack of know-how by farm-
531 ers on appropriate production and marketing practices.
532 During 2003–2006, DMP responded to these needs in
533 the Southern Rangeland site by the following:

534 (a) Raising and provision of quality germplasm
535 and capacity building of farmers.

536 During 2005–2006, 57 farmers were provided with
537 5,308 mango seedlings and trained on planting and
538 management, acquisition/production, and distribution
539 of germplasm materials; formation of mango farmers’

Table 4 Mango seedlings supplied and out-planted on farmers’
fields

District	Division	Farmers recruited	Seedlings planted
Makueni	Kibwezi	43	3,898
	Mtito Andei	6	550
	Makindu	1	60
	Kathonzweni	5	500
Kajiado	Mashuru	2	300
Total		57	5,308

associations; and networking with potential marketing agencies (Table 4). After identification of farmers for mango orchard establishment, suitability of the site is assessed and field training of the targeted farmers undertaken on their farms. The farmers are given briefing on different mango types, grafting techniques, pitting, spacing, planting, and management of the orchard. They are also introduced to book-keeping and enterprise development as a complementary activity.

To produce quality germplasm materials, private nurseries were supported through training and provision of nursery materials. On-station seedlings production was done on a very low scale to complement the private nurseries.

(b) Improvement of mango fruit quality and quantity. Studies done by KEFRI show that better mango establishment is achieved when grafting is done in the field rather than in the nursery. During 2005–2006, DMP supported project staff to carry out grafting of mangoes on 30 farms. Farmers were trained on how to carry out grafting. To improve fruit quantity, production, and timeliness, DMP commenced a field study on nutrient management, watering, and pesticide application under a strict annual schedule. The study that commenced in 2005 has demonstrated that physiological manipulation of the mango tree through nutrient management and watering could alter its flowering pattern that impacts on the marketability of the fruits. Under treatment, mangoes flowered and yielded fruits 2 months earlier than those under normal conditions. The study is being documented and guidelines developed for dissemination to mango producers.

(c) Networking and marketing of products in the mango products value chain. Linkages were established by networking farmers with exporters and other marketers in potential outlets with better prices for products. The targeted ones were producers and

540 traders of quality germplasm and buyers of the fruits.
541 The mango seedlings' producers were linked up to
542 Horticultural Development Authority (HCDA) for cer-
543 tification and cataloguing. The key buyers of the fruits
544 from the site were the exporters and supermarkets
545 mostly located in major urban centres and institutions
546 and retail traders located in all marketing centres. All
547 these were linked up by encouraging dialogue between
548 them and farmers.

549 Empirical evidence has shown that mango produc-
550 tion is highly profitable. The prices in the international
551 market are highly competitive. Thus through capac-
552 ity building, provision of quality germplasm, value
553 adding, and improved marketing strategies, incomes
554 of dryland communities will improve. This will help
555 divert the farmers' focus from destructive and envi-
556 ronmentally unfriendly practices such as charcoal pro-
557 duction. Increased incomes will also help reduce over-
558 reliance on livestock keeping, thus lessening pressure
559 on the environment due to overstocking in ASALs.

560 561 562 563 **Enhancing Biodiversity Conservation** 564 **Through Support of Beekeeping** 565 **as a Viable Alternative Livelihood** 566

567
568 Beekeeping has several potential impacts and is partic-
569 ularly appropriate in the resource-poor ASALs because
570 it does not compete directly for resources with other
571 agricultural activities; it requires little space (50 hives
572 can be accommodated in a tenth of a hectare) and land
573 can be of poor quality. Thus, beekeeping is a viable and
574 sustainable income generating activity in the drylands
575 and has enormous environmental, social, and economic
576 benefits for the ASALs in Kenya. Kenya's ASALs have
577 a potential to produce between 80,000 and 100,000 t of
578 pure honey per year, earning 20 billion shillings from
579 the domestic and foreign markets (Nyariki et al., 2005).

580 To broaden their livestock base and diversify liveli-
581 hood sources and income generation, settled pas-
582 toralists in ASAL ranges practise traditional bee-
583 keeping as an alternative livelihood source, which
584 is highly compatible with biodiversity conservation.
585 About 20% of pastoral households residing near the
586 Ndotto Mountain ranges in Marsabit District rely
587 on bees as a source of livelihood (Lengarite and
588 Okoti, 2004). Traditional beekeeping is compatible

with natural resource conservation since beekeepers
strictly utilize fallen dead wood from 12 different
woody species for making hives. *Commiphora* and
Euphorbia spp. are the best preferred plants which
have an average life span of over 10 years. Traditions
discourage beekeepers from cutting down live trees
for log hives and harvesting of wood products on
trees bearing hives. In the upper slopes, families and
clans control the use of resources in the apiary site
and through routine surveillance control fire outbreaks
and harvesting of woodland resources in the apiary
sites. The increased interest in beekeeping has meant
that many areas have been put under natural resource
conservation, which contributes to biodiversity conser-
vation.

The purpose of the project was to establish the
potential of beekeeping in the Ndotto Mountain ranges
and to describe development within the sector with a
view to optimally exploit beekeeping as a sustainable
livelihood strategy and for biodiversity conservation in
this fragile ecosystem. Priority areas for training and
appropriate beekeeping technologies were identified in
collaboration with target communities. To improve the
traditional system, several interventions were initiated
that included capacity building of traditional beekeep-
ers blending modern beekeeping technologies with
indigenous skills to sustainably manage bees and hive
products, social control measures to curb hive pillag-
ing, and integrating women in beekeeping production.
To improve the quality of hive products, simple and
low-cost technologies on harvesting and post-harvest
handling of hive products were initiated. To refine the
results and discuss on survey findings, feedback work-
shops were conducted. Traditional beekeepers and rep-
resentatives of women groups and local traders were
taken for an external study tour to visit and learn
from other beekeepers and create market linkages.
The introduction of modern beekeeping technologies
was carried out through participatory trainings and
demonstrations.

The impact of beekeeping interventions in the study
area showed that log hive population had increased
by 12% and the volume of crude honey traded in the
local market had increased by 20%. The increase in
the amount of crude honey delivered to the market was
attributed to more pastoralists venturing into beekeep-
ing. The improved capacity of local markets to buy
honey also stimulated many beekeepers in the Ndotto
ranges to deliver honey to the local market, including

Marsabit town. The quality (absence of impurities) and shelf life of processed honey by the women groups have improved, due to better processing techniques and women now process strictly ripe honey for the market. Since hive products were less perishable, more women groups are investing on hive products like making of wax. This diversification of products has meant increased incomes to the various households.

Conclusions

The main conclusions from the implementation of best-bet technologies in ASALs in Kenya are that the Desert Margins Programme (DMP) in Kenya has made some contribution to understanding which technology options have potential in reducing land degradation in marginal areas and conserving biodiversity that will benefit ASAL communities. Several hectares of degraded lands and rangelands have been reclaimed through various land degradation control technologies. However, land ownership is critical to farmers' investment in land degradation control and better rangeland management strategies. Policy advocacy will be necessary to promote appropriate land ownership by rural communities hoping that this will lead to greater adoption of some of the best-bet technologies that address land degradation control. Good policies will promote sustainable livelihoods, while bad policies will discourage local initiatives and result in resource degradation, poverty, and conflict (Munyasi et al., 2007; IIRR, 2002).

Combating land degradation and conserving biodiversity in the ASALs require diversification of production and cropping systems. Hence, technologies that provide alternative livelihood options to the local communities are essential to the success of land degradation control measures. Technologies that have obvious and immediate benefits to communities are more readily accepted and adopted. This is illustrated by the increased income generation from technologies such as beekeeping and honey production and fodder conservation for sedentary livestock herds in Kenya. Thus community-based natural resources management is key to sustainable resource use and derivation of benefits by the local community.

Training of stakeholders is an important component of upscaling; therefore, many stakeholders (farmers,

women, and technicians) have been trained during the implementation of the best-bet technologies. Training provided included grafting techniques, use of drip irrigation, and community-based monitoring and assessment of rangelands. However, the high cost of scaling up of some of the technologies, especially those that concern rehabilitation of degraded lands, is a major constraint to large-scale dissemination of these technologies. Another constraint to rehabilitation of degraded lands by tree planting and pasture production is protection of the land being reclaimed from livestock grazing, especially if it is communally owned. Moreover, sustainability of some of the technologies, especially tree planting and reseeding of rangelands, depends heavily on rainfall, which is often erratic.

Generally many of the technologies implemented have great potential to arrest land degradation and biodiversity loss in ASALs. However, larger scale upscaling of these technologies will necessitate influencing a change in policy.

Acknowledgements We acknowledge the financial support from the Desert Margins Programme for Africa that is funded by GEF, implemented by UNEP, and executed by ICRISAT in collaboration with NARS in Africa. We thank the various collaborative partners in Kenya [KARI, KEFRI, University of Nairobi, NEMK, NEMA, ITDG (Practical Action–East Africa), Government of Kenya extension services and other extension agents, etc.] for their technical support and the Director, Kenya Agricultural Research Institute (KARI), for permission to publish this chapter.

References

- Achieng NJM, Muchena FN (1979) Land utilisation types of the Makueni area. Miscellaneous Soil Paper no. M20. Kenya Soil Survey, Kabete Nairobi
- Ballard JM, Plateau JP (1996) Halting degradation of natural resources: Is there a role for rural communities? Clarendon Press, Oxford
- Coughener MB (2004) The Ellis paradigm – humans, herbivores and rangeland systems. *Afr J Range Forage Sci* 21(3): 191–200
- Coughenour MB, Coppock DL, Ellis JL (1990) Herbaceous forage variability in an arid pastoral region of Kenya: importance of topographic and rainfall gradients. *J Arid Environ* 19:147–159
- De Jager A, van Keulen H, Maina F, Gachimbi LN, Itabari JK, Thurairira EG, Karuku AM (2006) Attaining sustainable farm management systems in semi-arid areas in Kenya. Few technical options, many policy challenges. *Int J Agric Sustainabil* 3(3):(in press)

- 638 Ekirapa AE, Muya EM (1991) Detailed soil survey of part of
639 the University of Nairobi Dryland Field Station, Kibwezi.
640 Machakos District. Detailed Soil Survey Report No. D75.
Kenya Soil Survey
- 641 Emiru B, Demel T, Pia B (Undated) Contribution of enclosures
642 to rehabilitate degraded drylands of Northern Ethiopia
- 643 Fabrius C, Koch E, Magome H, Turner S (eds) (2005) Rights,
644 resources and rural development: community-based natural
645 resources management in Southern Africa. Earthscan,
London
- 646 Gemedo-Dalle, Mass B L, Isselstein J (2006) Rangeland condi-
647 tion and trend in the semi-arid Borana lowlands, southern
Oromia, Ethiopia. *Afr J Range Forage Sci* 23(1):49–58
- 648 Goldsmith P (2000) Natural resource management in Marsabit
649 District. Case studies of pastoral settlements. Analysis
650 and recommendations. Project No. ACP. KE.061 – Kenya
651 Agricultural Research Institute (KARI) Report. Kenya
Agricultural Research Institute, Nairobi, Kenya
- 652 Government of Kenya (GOK). (2002) National Development
653 Plan (2002–2008). Ministry of Planning and Development,
654 Government Printer, Nairobi
- 655 Herlocker D (ed) (1999) Rangeland resources in Eastern Africa:
656 their ecology and development. GTZ, German Technical
Cooperation, Nairobi
- 657 Hudson NW (1987) Soil and water conservation in semi-arid
658 areas. *FAO Soils Bulletin* No. 57. Food and Agriculture
659 Organization of the United Nations (FAO), Rome
- 660 IIRR (2002) Managing dryland resources-An extension man-
661 ual for eastern and southern Africa. International Institute of
Rural Re-construction (IIRR), Nairobi
- 662 Itabari JK, Kwena K, Esilaba AO, Kathuku AN, Mohammad
663 L, Mangale N, Kathuli P (2007) Land and water manage-
664 ment research and development in arid and semi-arid lands
665 of Kenya. A paper presented at an International Symposium
666 on Innovations as Key to the Green Revolution in Africa:
667 Exploring the Scientific Facts. African Network for Soil
668 Biology and Fertility (AfNet) of TSBF-CIAT in collabora-
tion with the Soil Fertility Consortium for Southern Africa
(SOFECSA), Arusha, Tanzania, 17–21st Sept 2007
- 669 Itabari JK, Ngululu SN, Gichangi EM, Karuku AM, Njiru EN,
AQ40 Wambua JM, Maina JN, Gachimbi LN (2004) Managing
671 land and water resources for sustainable crop production in
672 dry areas: a case study of small-scale farms in semi-arid
673 areas of Eastern, Central and Rift Valley Provinces of Kenya.
In: Crissman L (ed) *Agricultural research and development
674 for sustainable resource management and food security in
675 Kenya. Proceedings of end of programme conference.* KARI,
pp 31–42, 11–12 Nov 2003
- 676 Jaetzold R, Schmidt H, Hornet ZB, Shisanya CA (2006)
677 Farm management handbook of Kenya, vol II. Natural
678 Conditions and Farm Information, 2nd edn, vol II/ Part C
679 Eastern Province. Ministry of Agriculture/German Agency
for Technical Cooperation (GTZ), Nairobi
- 680 Jensen JR, Bernhard RH, Hansen S, McDonagh J, MØberg JP,
681 Nielsen NE, Nordbo E (2003) Productivity in maize based
682 cropping systems under various soil-water-nutrient manage-
683 ment strategies in semi-arid alfisol environment in East
684 Africa. *Agric Water Manage* 59:217–237
- 685 Kamondo BM, Kimondo JM, Mulatya JM, Muturi GM (eds)
686 (2006) Recent Mukau (*Melia volkensii* Gurke) research and
development. Proceedings of the First National Workshop,
KEFRI Kitui Regional Research Centre. Kenya Forestry
Research Institute, Nairobi, Kenya
- Karugu WN (2004) The potential of agroforestry for subsis-
tence farming in arid and semi-arid areas of Kenya. In: Temu
AB et al (eds) *Rebuilding Africa's capacity for agricultural
development: the role of tertiary education.* pp 170–175
- Kilewe AM, Thomas DB (1992). *Land degradation in Kenya.
A framework for policy and planning.* Food production and
Rural Development Division, Commonwealth Secretariat,
Marlborough House, Pall Mall, London SW1Y 5HX
- Kipserem LK (1996) Water harvesting on entric Fluvisols of
Njemps Flats of Baringo district. A paper presented at the
Dryland applied Research and Extension Project (DAREP)
workshop at Embu from 4–6th June 1996
- Lal R (1995) Tillage systems in the tropics. Management options
and sustainability implications. *FAO Soils Bulletin* No. 71.
Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations
(FAO), Rome
- Lengarite MI, Okoti M (2004) Survey report on the potential
of honey production and marketing in Ngurunit and East of
Ndoto Mountain ranges. Desert Margins Programme (DMP)
Report. Kenya Agricultural Research Institute, Nairobi,
Kenya
- Lusigi WJ (1981) Combating desertification and rehabilitating
degraded production systems in Northern Kenya. Integrated
Project for Arid Lands (IPAL) Tech. Rep. A-4
- McPeak JG (2001) Analyzing and addressing localized degrada-
tion in the commons. *Land Eco* 26p AQ6
- Milimo B, Olukoye GA, Moindi D (2002) The human dimen-
sion of desertification with special reference to people's
participation in dune stabilisation measures in North Horr,
Northern Kenya. Deutsche gesellschaft fur Technische
Zusammenarbeit (GTZ) GmbH, TOB Publication No: TOB
F-1/8e ISBN: 3-9801067-14-1, Eschborn 2002
- Ministry of Agriculture (MoA) (1987) Description of the
first priority sites in the various districts. Fertilizer use
Recommendation Project (FURP) 26, Machakos District.,
NARL-Kabete
- Miriti JM, Esilaba AO, Kihumba J, Bationo A (2007) Tied-
ridging and integrated nutrient management options for sus-
tainable crop production in semi-arid eastern Kenya. In:
Bationo A, Waswa B, Kihara J, Kimetu J (eds) *Advances in
integrated soil fertility management in sub-Saharan Africa:
challenges and opportunities.* Springer, Netherlands, pp 434–
441
- Munyasi J, Esilaba AO, Wekesa L, Ego W (2007) Policy issues
affecting integrated resource management and utilization in
ASALS. A paper presented at an International Symposium
on Innovations as Key to the Green Revolution in Africa:
Exploring the Scientific Facts. Organized by AfNet of TSBF-
CIAT in collaboration SOFECSA, Arusha, Tanzania, 17–21
Sept 2007
- Nabhan H, Mashali AM, Mermut AR 1999 Integrated soil
management for sustainable agriculture and food secu-
rity in southern and east Africa. Proceedings of the
Expert Consultation, Harare, Zimbabwe, 8–12 Dec 1997.
Agricultural Technical and Extension Services (Agritex) and
Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations
(FAO), Rome
- Nandwa SM, Gicheru PT, Qureshi JN, Kibunja C, Makokha
S (1999) Kenya country report. In: Nabhan H, Mashali

The Desert Margins Programme

- 687 AM, Mermut AR (eds) Integrated soil management for
688 sustainable agriculture and food security in southern and
689 east Africa. Proceedings of the Expert Consultation, Harare,
690 Zimbabwe, 8–12 Dec 1997. Agricultural Technical and
691 Extension Services (Agritex) and Food and Agriculture
692 Organization of the United Nations (FAO), Rome
- 692 Ndung'u JN, Nyamori BO, Kuria SG, Njanja JC (1999)
693 Participatory rural appraisal on livestock management prac-
694 tices of the Rendille pastoral community at Korr in Marsabit
695 District. Kenya Agricultural Research Institute, (KARI)
696 Report. Kenya Agricultural Research Institute, Nairobi,
697 Kenya
- 697 Ngoroi EH, Gitari J, Njiru EN, Njakuthi N, Mwangi I, Muriithi
698 C (1994) Effect of moisture conservation methods and fer-
699 tilizer/FYM combinations on grain yield of maize in low
700 rainfall areas. Annual Report, KARI Regional Research
701 Centre, Embu
- 701 Njihia CM (1977) The effect of tied ridges, stover mulch
702 and farmyard manure on rainfall abstraction in a medium
703 potential area, Katumani, Kenya. Paper presented at the
704 International Conference on role of soil physical prop-
705 erties, December 1977. International Institute of Tropical
706 Agriculture (IITA), Ibadan, Nigeria
- 706 Nyariki D, Ikutwa C, Musimba N (2005) Capacity building
707 through training of beekeepers in the southern rangelands
708 of Kenya. Desert Margins Programme (DMP) Report. Kenya
709 Agricultural Research Institute, Nairobi
- 709 Olukoye GA, Wamicha WN, Kinyamario JI (2003) Assessment
710 of the performance of exotic and indigenous tree and shrub
711 species for rehabilitating saline soils of Northern Kenya. *Afr*
712 *J Ecol* 41(2):164–170
- 712 Reid RE, Ellis JE (1995) Impacts of pastoralism on wood-
713 lands in South Turkana, Kenya: Livestock Mediated Tree
714 Recruitment. *Ecological Appl* 5:978–992
- 714 Van Bremen H, Kinyanjui HCK (1992) Soils of the Lodwar
715 area: An inventory of soils, an evaluation of present land use
716 and recommendation for future land use Reconnaissance Soil
717 survey Report No R17. Kenya Soil Survey, Nairobi
- 717 Van Wijagarden W, van Englen VWP (1984) Soils and vegeta-
718 tion of the Tsavo area (Mtitu andei-Voi). Reconnaissance soil
719 survey report no. R7. Kenya Soil Survey, Nairobi
- 719 Wandera FP, Sitienei J, Mnene WN, Ahuya CO (1996). PRA
720 report for Kajiado and Baringo Districts
- 720 Warren DM (1993) The role of indigenous knowledge in adapta-
721 tion and development. Background paper for keynote address
722 'Pithecanthropus Centennial 1893–1993: human evolution in
723 its ecological context'. University of Leiden, Leiden, The
724 Netherlands
- 724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
- UNCORRECTED
- AQ7

Chapter 120

Q. No.	Query
AQ1	Please provide e-mail id for the authors “M. Okoti, D.M. Nyariki, G.A. Keya, J.N. Kigomo, G. Olukoye, W. Ego, G.M. Muturi, and H.K. Cheruiyot”.
AQ2	The citation ‘van Wifngaarden and van Englen, 1994’ has not been included in the reference list. Please check.
AQ3	Please update the page number for reference “De Jager et al. 2006.”
AQ4	Please provide publisher location for reference “Itabari et al. 2004”.
AQ5	Please provide publisher and location for reference “Karugu, 2004”.
AQ6	Please provide volume and page ranges for “McPeak, 2001.”
AQ7	Reference "Van Wijagarden, van Englen, 1984" is not cited in the text part. Please provide.

UNCORRECTED PROOF